P-27

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

8R68-17(142)

1913-14

(SR.)

File No. 7-13

Serial Nos. 20, 30, 31, 53, 101 & 102

Later references.

Vacant 89-21(142)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 20, 30, 31, 53, 101 & 102

Part B for September 1920

SUBJECT.

Petition from Sri D. Venkatramanayya, Inamdar of certain villages in Dodballapur taluq praying that he may be granted the payment of the amount due to him on account of the kotdy revenue of the inam villages belonging to him.

8R102) In the Excise Cons. Proceedings } No. R 3231-6 Sept 1920

Rejects the claim of the Inamdar of Basavanahalli to Excise revenue.

Mohd Abdur Rahman
7.13/9/20

WD 788—GPB—5,000—7-11-19

G.R.S. 11] 19137

(1)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(GR.)

NOTES.

File No. 7-13

FILE HEADING

Petitions not disposed of on merits.

From Mr D. Venkatramayya, Amaldar of certain villages in ~~Bezwada~~ Dodballapur & Gowribidanur Tks.

No. Petn Dated 2/12/13 Received 2/12/13

Diary No. 2086	Enclos. —	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 20.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests the payment of the amount due to him on account of the tody revenue of the inam villages belonging to him

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB.—35,000—15-6-12

P.P.O

(2)

GR 7-13-
" Secy's orders on the paper under disposal
" Where is the case pending? Please find out and submit a note thereon
early ".

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 2086

Has a search been also made among the Case papers pending disposal

23/12

The claims referred to are not found in the pending cases.

23/12/13

1. Of the villages mentioned by Mr. D. Venkatramanayya orders of Govt have been passed on the claims of only one, viz, Arodi village. A search was made among the claims of inamdars finally disposed of by Govt. and no reference is available: Hence a draft by the Excise Commr is put up for orders

23/12/13

2. Note draft.

23/12

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—20,000—14-7-13.

(3)

3

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SR File No. 7 of 1913.

Serial No.	
30	To The Excise Commr in Mysore No: H 2911/SR 7-3-30 dy 6/1/14. Regrets him to state where and in what state the bills or cases referred to by the petitioner are pending.
31.	From the Excise Commr in Mysore. No: 5640 dy the 10th Jany 1914. States that the refund bill for Rs 74-3-2 due to the inamdars in account of the toddy revenue of the Arsdi villages have been adjusted for the pori amount due by the inamdars and that the records of investigation regarding the claims of other villages which are pending with the Dy Commrs of Bangalore & Kolar to whom reminders have been sent, to expedite their submission. 3. A draft of an endorsement to the Petitioner, Mr D. Venkatramayya, is put up. R. 73 SI 19/1/14. SM 20/1. Dr (Sd) SM 20/1. (4) Approved Draft 21/1 (5) Proceedings 17/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G P B—60,000—7-11-13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 7 of 1913.

4

Serial No.	
55	To Mr D Venkatramaniya Esq Bangalore No 3831 dated 21-2-14 Informs that his claim to 10 day revenue of his inam villages is under investigation and that the Dy Commrs concerned have been asked to expedite the matter
	To the Excise Commr in Mysore No 3832 dated 21-2-14 Forwards copy of above with request that the claim in question may be settled early

Pending from 1913 —

[The Secy has ordered that these old cases should be examined with a view to see which of them may be struck off]

(6) This is one of the oldest cases remaining undisposed of owing to non receipt of the report called for from the Excise Commr. The claim is stated to be under investigation by the Sub Dy Collr Chikballapur who has not yet sent up the records. A number of reminders (including Special Do reminders) have been sent to the Dy Commr.

(7) Perhaps reminders have to issue till a final report is received.

(8) Shall put up a D.O. reminder as has been done in another case of similar kind.

13.5.19

14/6/14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R. File No. 7 of 1913

5

Serial No.

Flag R.

The D.C. Kolar has reported in his adm. memo reply dt 1/4-19 that the records are pending with the Sub Divn Officer, Chickballapur.

A draft D.O. reminder is putup for approval.

1.7.19

For perusal & issue.

1.7.19

Revised

16.7.19

D.O. No 106 C dt 17.7.19

To the D.C. Kolar

Enquiring the cause of delay in disposing of the matter which has been pending for over 5 years.

D. 639

From the D.C. Kolar

D.O. No 30/9 dt 21-7-19

In reply to the above, reports that the case is still pending with the Sub Divn Officer, Chickballapur.

The D.C. states that it was only a year ago i.e. on 10th July 18 that a reply was received from the S.D.O. to the effect that the notice sent for service on the party had not been returned served & that fresh notice had been issued & the matter would be duly investigated & a report submitted

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D.638

6

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S. R. File No. 7 of 1913.

6

Serial No.

after procuring an attendance of the Inamdar.

He further says that he is going to write to the S. D. O. to expedite disposal of the Case without further delay & will address us in the matter on receipt of a report & the records from him.

Submitted for favour of ~~information~~ ~~and~~ general & orders.

Seen. Remind again second week of August.

24.7.19

25.7.19

A draft D. O. Reminder & Related Proposal.

14/8/19

15/8/19

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.R. File No. 7 of 1913

7

Serial No.	
101	From the Excise Comr, No. ot.c. 181-20 dated 11-8-20. Forwards the records relating to the claim of the inamdar of Basavanahalli, Gauribidnur taluk, to Excise revenue.
	The inamdar has admitted in a written statement filed by him that he has neither the Sanad nor the Inam settlement Register of his inam village. Nor has he adduced any evidence showing proof of enjoyment of the revenue. The Excise Comr. and the Dy. Comr., Kolar dt., therefore recommend that the claim may be rejected. ~~Submitted for orders with a draft.~~
	The inamdar has put in a claim for the Excise revenue of all his inam villages, which are 5 in number-

Flag H

Flag X

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

viz.,
(1). Arodi, Doddaballapur taluk.
(2). Thekalahalli, —do—
(3). Basavanahalli, Goribidnur tk,
(4). Basvarahalli, —do. and
(5). Komarlahalli —do.
the claims in respect of (1) and (2) have been disposed of already. (Vide G. O.'s No. 269-70 Ern-J: 1601 dated 18-9-03 and No. R. 1905-6 S. R. 68-17-2 dated 14-12-17). The claim in respect of (3) is now dealt with in this paper under disposal No. 2. The claim in respect of (4) and (5) still remain to be dealt with. May the Excise Comr be requested to expedite submission of papers relating to the last two villages also?

Submitted for orders with a draft.

19-8-20 1918

Secd Member.

28.8

D.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

1314

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S. R. File No. 7 of 19/3

Serial No.	
1349-348	Box approved
28.8.20.	Rec'd
	30.8.20
162	To the Engineer Comr.
	" Controller,
	No. R. 3235-6 dated 4-9-20
	Reject the claim of the
	manager of Basavanavilla
	to Excise Revenue.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

"Kalanidhi", Malleswaram,

Bangalore, 2nd December 1913.

DIARY No. 2086

1913-1914
SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 713
SERIAL No. 20.

To

The Secretary to the Govt.
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue & General Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

In the enquiry regarding the inamdars' rights to the toddy revenue, the monies due to me for the villages of jodi Theklahalli and jodi Arodi in the Dodballapur taluq, Kayangutha, Dasarahalli, Komarlahalli and Basavanhalli in the Thondebhavi hobli of Goribidnur taluq being sale proceeds of only toddy groves of these villages for the years 1892, 93, 94, 95 & 96 have not been fully paid to me in spite of my repeated petitions. The reason alleged is that the rules framed in the year 1901 created doubts regarding the disposal of this question. Messrs. Amblai Annai Pandit, Karnik Krishnamurti and a host of inamdars have received fully the amounts due to them although they are similarly situated like myself. In the inam title deeds the right to toddy revenue is not conceded to me as in the case of the above mentioned gentlemen. What I cannot concieve is that they were paid their money in full about 15 or 16 years ago whereas no replies are given to my representations. In my opinion there is absolutely no reason to confound the scope of the enquiry to the sale proceeds of toddy groves up to 1896. The tree tax and the tree rent were thought of only in the year 1897. The rules of 1901 which make a differentiation between tree tax and tree rent

of sales of toddy groves in inamdars' villages prior to 1896. If the rules of 1901 have created a doubt, I shall feel obliged if the Government be pleased to clear the doubt. The withholding of the money for such a length of time is objectionable and the inamdars are entitled to get their sums with interest. My petition presented to Mr. Puttanna Chetty as the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore is still lying somewhere without disposal. I pray that early orders might be passed directing that the sale proceeds of the toddy groves be ordered to be given to me.

If I establish my claim by sannad or other proofs to the toddy revenue, I shall claim the tree tax from the year 1897 which in my opinion is quite different from the claim above referred to.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Dr Malaramaiya

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 7-73

Serial No. 30

3/1

Diary No. of letter replied to 2086

No. H 2911

8 to January 1894

General and Revenue Secretariat

(GR)

, *the* *191* .

To

The Excise Commr at Mysore

Date of issue 6 January 1894

Signed by W Knight for the

Sir,

I am directed to state
that Mr D. Venkatanarayana
Inamdar of Thekalahalli
and Arodi villages in the Doddaballapur
N and Dasanahalli and
Basavanahalli villages in the
Goribidnur N, Colar dist
states that the grove
farm rent due to him
for the years 1892 to 96

over.

SUBJECT.

has not yet been fully paid to him and requests early payment of the amount due. ~~Because~~.

I am to request you will be so good as to state where and in what state are the bills or cases as the case may be are pending. If they are pending in the Dt Offices or in your office, I am to request you to be so good as to expedite their disposal.

An early reply is requested.

I have &c

for Secy to Govt

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

No: 5640

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 10th January 1914.

12

DIARY No. 26.29

1913-1914
SECTION SR
FILE No. 7-13
SERIAL No. 31

FROM

M. Kantaraj Urs, Esq., B.A.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K.R. Srinivasaiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.Fl.2911/S.R.7-13-3D dated the 6th Instant requesting information as to where and in what stage the bills or cases, as the case may be, are pending in re the Inamdars claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of certain villages belonging to Mr. D. Venkatramiah, Advocate, Bangalore, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The claim in respect of Arodi village, Doddaballapur Taluk was disallowed by Government in their order No.269-70/Ex.F.16-01 - dated the 18th September 1903 allowing only the one fifth of the Grove farm rent during the farming period.

3. The refund bill pertaining to the aforesaid claim was - - sanctioned by Government in their order No.Fl.3044-5/S.R.123-09-9 date 27th December 1909 for Rs.74-3-2 and the amount in question was - - adjusted towards the Jodi amount due by the Inamdars after serving due notices on the claimants concerned. Hence nothing more is due on account of this village.

4. The records of investigation relating to the Thekalahalli village, Doddballapur Taluk, is pending with the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore, who has this day been reminded to expedite their submission without further delay.

5. As regards the Dasarahalli and Basavanahalli villages in

19 .

FROM

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

GPB 1,350- 25-11-12

the Goribidnur Taluk, I beg to report that the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, has this day been reminded to expedite submission of the - - records of investigation with whom they are still pending.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191 .

Date of issue 28.2.20

Signed by M B.R.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 90 R 9884 / 28.2.20

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your personal attention to this office no. 71724-5/3.8.17 S.R. 7-13 regarding the claim of ~~Mr. D. Venkatarama Iyer~~ the Inamdar of Basavanahalli, Goribidanur Tk to the excise revenue from toddy and to point out that the case is pending ~~with you~~

over.

for some more than two years together and that the delay in the matter, inspite of repeated reminders, is thoroughly unsatisfactory.

I am to request you to kindly see that the case is disposed of without further delay.

Yours Sincerely.

26.2.20.

27/2

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

B—60,000—15-11 16

23279

Dev 6420 5-3-20

No 3601

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.
Dated Kolar, the 1st March 1920.

R.T. 13-100.

Dear Sir,

Your D.O. No.R,9884/S.R. dated 28th February 1920, regarding the claim of the Inamdar of Basavanahalli, Goribidnur Taluk, to the Excise Revenue from Toddy.

I write to inform you that the Sub Division Officer, Chikballapur investigated into the claim and submitted a calendar in the case - upon which orders rejecting the -- claim were passed by me. The Jodidar has been intimated of the above decision.

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore was in this Office No.347 dated 12th/17th February 1920 intimated of the above. He has further been requested to give necessary intimation of the same to Government also.

Yours sincerely,

D. Ramaswamaiya, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

25/3

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

21

SUBJECT...

...

...

Diary No. of letter replied to 6470

Sec

File No. 7-13.

Serial No.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191* .

No. R 11299 of 29.3.20

Date of issue 29.3.20

To

Signed by Mr H.V. Ramachandra Iyer

The Excise Comr.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of D.C. No. 3601 dated 1st March 1920 from the Dy. Comr., Kolar District, stating that he has issued orders rejecting the claim of the inamdar of Basavanahalli, Gauribidanur taluk, to Excise revenue, I am directed to ~~observe~~ state that the action of

over.

and intimated the same to you also,

Read 1/c
31/3/20
Recd

the Dy. Comr. seems to entra-
ordinary as the Rules regulating the settlement of
inamdars' claims to Excise
revenue ~~issued with the~~ ~~marginally noted G.O.s~~ G.O. No. 2074-83. R.D. 166-92 dated 2-8-01.
are empower the Dy. Comr. only
to investigate such claims G.O. No. Jdl. 3697-706 S.R. 94-14-3 dated 30-12-16.
and to ~~report~~ submit the
case with the connected
records to the Excise Comr.,.
who has to submit the
records to Govt. with his
opinion thereon. ~~The Dy. Comr. had no juris-~~
~~diction to pass orders in this case. I am to request you therefore to obtain and submit the~~ I am to enquire what
action has been taken
by you on the Dy. Comr's
report, and to request
that a report may be
submitted to Govt. without
delay for settlement
List enclosures. connected
records with your
opinion in the matter
I have etc.,

Secy. to Govt.,
Rev. dept.

in accordance
with the rules.

25/3/16

Copied by

Examined by

Ex 44-20-1.

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated...11th August.....1920

223

S7-13-101

FROM

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

D. No. 1394
Ex 44-8-20.

To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A. B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of
His. Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~General and~~ Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith, the records of enquiry relating to the inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Jodi Basavanahalli Village, Goribidnur Taluk, received with letter No. 101 dated 7th August 1920 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, copy of which is enclosed.

2. The Jodidar has not adduced any evidence in proof of the enjoyment of the toddy revenue, nor has he produced the Sanad or Title-deed and copy of the Inam Settlement Register. He admits in his statement given before the investigating officer on 17th April 1919 that he has been receiving small amounts from the toddy contractors, which can only be Tree-rent on account of the ownership of the trees in his village.

3. Both the investigating officer and the Deputy Commissioner are therefore of opinion that the Jodidar has failed to establish his claim and that it may be rejected. I concur with their opinion and recommend that the claim be rejected, payment of grove farm rent if any, being ordered under rules 4 & 8 of Government Order No. Fl 3097-706/S.R. 94-14-3 dated 30th December 1916.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Excise Commissioner.

GPB—2,000—13-5-15

191 .

From

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Copy of the letter No. 101 dated 5th /7th August 1920 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

I have the honor to forward herewith the file of records relating to the Inamdar's claim to toddy revenue of Jodi Basavanna halli Village, Goribidnur Taluk together with a calendar of claim relating to the case and to state that the Jodidar admitted that he has no other documents than he had already produced. Inspite of nctices, the Jodidar failed to produce the Inam Settlement - Register.

The Jodidar's claim is therefore rejected.

"C O P Y".

K Ananda

Excise Commissioner.

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT..... Claim of the inamdar of Basavanahalli Goribidnur Tk. to Excise revenue of ...

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 1394

Section S.R.

File No. 7–13

Serial No. 102

General and Revenue Secretariat

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

( )

the *191*

Read—

Date of issue..... 4–9–20

Signed by Mr. H. V. Ramaswamy

Letter No. S.C. 1581–20 dated 11th Aug. 1920 from the Excise Comr. forwarding the records relating to the claim of the inamdar of Basavanahalli Goribidnur taluk to Excise revenue from toddy with his opinion thereon.

Order Thereon—No.

Order No. R3235–6 dated 4–9–20.

The inamdar admits in a written statement filed by

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

him that he is unable
to produce the Sannad
and the Inam Settle-
ment Register. Nor has
he adduced any evidence
showing proof of enjoyment
of the revenue. The claim
of the inamdar to the Excise
revenue from toddy of the
village in question is there-
fore rejected. He is entitled only to
1/5 of the grove farm rent during the forming period 4

2. The Excise Comr.
is requested to expedite
Submission
of the records relating to the
claim of the same inamdar Lis
to the Excise revenue of his
two other inam villages,
Dodarahalli and Komarla-
halli, Goribidnur taluk.

Read
30.8.20

Copied by

Examined by

Jr Secy. to Govt.
Rev. dept.

WD 1744—GPB -30,000—17-3 19

To
The Excise Comr.
-- Comptroller.